



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

			• •	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,995	04/25/2002	Jean-Pierre Glize	216606US2PCT	6868
	7590 06/10/2003	D 3717mm		
1940 DUKE S'	TREET	D, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAMINER	
ALEXANDRL	04/25/2002 0 06/10/2003 AK, MCCLELLAND, REET		LE, UYEN CHAU N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/009,995	GLIZE, JEAN-PIERRE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MALL DIO DATE	Uyen-Chau N. Le				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a n ply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	Anril 2002				
20\ This is a massive	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	(0000 0000==1.5				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	iers, prosecution as to the merits is 0.11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 14-26 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	WD from consideration				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with total consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
-philodilott Fabet2					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepting a second	oted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) he held in at a	•			
is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Exemples					
a approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exariority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	aminer.				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
— coming dobles of the brighty documents	have been received.				
— The priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8.4	19(a) (to a new trial to the control of the control			
15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	icional analineste e l				
		120 anu/01 121.			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Sum 5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
tent and Trademark Office 326 (Rev. 04-01)	on Summary				

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Prelim. Amdt/Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Amendment filed 25 April 2002.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 14, 6-8, 13, 15 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claim 14, line 11: Substitute "a configuration ticket" with - the configuration ticket --.

Re claim 17, line 2: Substitute "a statement ticket" with -- the statement ticket --.

Re claim 23, line 5: Substitute "the" with -- a magnetic programming --.

Re claim 23, line 12: Substitute "a configuration ticket" with – the configuration ticket --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Art Unit: 2876

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 14-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swartz et al (US 5,594,228) in view of Bergman et al (US 5,469,142).

Re claims 14-26, Hiratuka et al discloses a man/machine interface device for ticket processing comprising a magnetic read/write station 13, a thermal printing station 14, control means 30, means 13a for writing on a magnetic stripe of a configuration ticket 4, means 14 for printing on the configuration ticket 4; wherein the read/write station is configured to read contents of the magnetic strip of the configuration ticket 7 inserted into the ticket processing device; wherein the control means 30 comprise storage means 30b for storing the read information (figs. 1-6; col. 3, line 55 through col. 9, line 19).

Hiratuka et al fails to teach or fairly suggest that the information written on/read from the magnetic stripe contents at least certain operating parameters of the ticket processing device, which enables the control means to configure functioning the ticket processing device with the aid of the stored configuration parameters, and which enable the installer to have a configuration ticket on which the corresponding configuration parameters are printed.

Austin et al teaches the printer operating parameters are automatically adjusted based on the read information contended within a barcode/magnetic stripe (figs. 2A-3B; col. 7, line 1 through col. 8, line 14).

Art Unit: 2876

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings the teachings of Austin et al into the teachings of Hiratuka et al in order to provide Hiratuka et al with a more accurate, efficient, and time consuming system wherein the operating parameters contended within the barcode/magnetic stripe can be entered into the system accurately within no time comparing to manually enter by an operator, and thus reducing time and labor and also preventing the content information from being manipulating intentionally or unintentionally.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The patents to Petch et al (US 5,120,947); Roberts et al (US 4,677,553); Brunn et al (US 4,704,518); Morofushi (US 4,866,256); Walters et al (US 4,788,419); Fillod et al (US 5,484,215); Takenouchi (JP 03,253,991); Watabe (JP 07,044,736); Hirose (JP 61,049,870); Hasegawa (JP 09,006,996); Kawaji et al (JP 09,326,052); Gunnarsson (WO 98/05508); Miyashita (JP 2001/118,092) are cited as of interest and illustrate a similar structure to a man/machine interface method and device for a ticket processing device comprising a magnetic stripe.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uyen-Chau N. Le whose telephone number is 703-306-5588. The examiner can normally be reached on SUN, M, W, F 7:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 2876

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL G LEE can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Uyer -Chau Ngo Le

June 2, 2003

KARL D. FRECH PRIMARY EXAMINER